

THE NATIONAL BOARD

OF BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL INSPECTORS

NATIONAL BOARD TASK GROUP INTERPRETATIONS

AGENDA

Meeting of July 12th, 2021 Cincinnati, OH

The National Board of Boiler & Pressure Vessel Inspectors 1055 Crupper Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43229-1183 Phone: (614)888-8320 FAX: (614)847-1828

1. Call to Order

1:00 PM Eastern Time. For those attending in person, the meeting will be held in Rookwood on the 4th floor.

2. Introduction of Members and Visitors (Attachment 1)

3. Check for a Quorum

4. Announcements

- The National Board will host a reception for all committee members and visitors on Wednesday evening from 5:30pm 7:30 pm in the Continental Room on the Mezzanine Level.
- The National Board will host a breakfast for all committee members and visitors on Thursday morning at 7:00 am and a lunch at 11:30 am in the Rosewood room on the 4th floor of the Hotel.
- A coffee station with snacks will be provided in the morning and afternoon outside of the meeting rooms on the 3rd and 4th floors.
- The 2021 NBIC is available as of July 1st, 2021.
 - > Stress the importance of letter ballot voting
 - Read the workflow procedure and ask for questions
 - Remind people that they may be in an Interp group and not be a member of the Interp task group. If so, they present it or ask for a member to present it.
 - New numbering system with (I) or (A) to distinguish between the types of items
 - > Finding out the status of the items and asking if "the needle has moved"
 - Expectations for member voting
 - > The expectation of increased participation of members and guests in task group
 - The expectation that task group work will be conducted between committee meetings in addition to the week of meetings

5. Adoption of the Agenda

6. Approval of the Minutes of the January 11, 2021 Meeting

The minutes are available for review on the National Board website, www.nationalboard.org.

7. Review of Rosters

8. Interpretations

Item Number: 20-78	NBIC Location: Part 3, 3.3.3 s) & 3.4.4 d)	Attachment 2
General Description: Re	epairs and Alterations of Tube Bundles	
Subgroup: Repairs and A	Alterations	
Task Group: Paul Shank	ks (PM)	
Explanation of Need: Submission is for R Certis) seems to allow to be a as an alteration.)	ficate Holders we provide Repair Inspection services for repair, but under 3.4.4 d) where the dimensions change	or. NBIC Part 3, 3.3.3 it might be classified
INT TG Action: Progress .4 d) resulted in P. Becke "Repairs" and "Alteration	ss Report – Discussion of this Item (20-78) and Item 20 r opening a new Item (21-12) to better clarify the defin ns"	-54 dealing with 3.4 ition and examples of
SC ACTION: Mr. Shan	ks presented a Progress Report .	
Item Number: 20-91	NBIC Location: Part 3, 1.5.1 h)	Attachment 3
General Description: Mech	nanical Repair Procedures	

Subgroup: Repairs and Alterations

Task Group: R. Underwood (PM), R. Valdez

Explanation of Need:

Part 3, paragraph 1.5.1(h) requires that control of mechanical assembly/repair procedures be addressed in the R Certificate Holder's Quality Manual. Over the last year or so, there have been National Board Team Leaders requesting these procedures (during joint reviews) for work such as rolling tubes in a boiler and replacing a bolted fitting on a pressure retaining item. This has resulted in questions from certificate holders and Inspectors about why an "R" certificate holder is required to have procedures for mechanical work that doesn't even require an "R" Stamp.

INT TG Action: Proposal was Unanimously Approved

SC ACTION: Mr. Underwood presented a proposal that was revised after discussion. The proposal was motioned, seconded and was **Approved** w/ 1 Abstention (P. Shanks)

January 2021 MC Action: Mr. Underwood presented the proposal for this item. Mr. Troutt noted that there was one abstention at the subcommittee level. A motion was made and seconded to approve the proposal as presented. There was discussion on if a lack of an R form falls under the NBIC, and if doing mechanical repairs needs an R form. Mr. Scribner felt that this is interpreting language that is not in the NBIC, and that there are other procedures in place outside of NBIC to address this subject. Mr. Troutt pointed out that the inquirer is asking specifically about the first sentence of 1.5.1 h), and this interpretation answers that specific question. Additional discussion was held, which led to the original motion being taken back. The task group will do additional work on the proposal.

New Interpretation Requests:

Item Number: 21-17	NBIC Location: Part 3, 3.3.3 & 3.3.4	Attachment 4
General Description: Using a	ny ASME PCC-2 methods in an R-stamped activity	ity
	·	
Subgroup: Repairs and Alterat	ions	
Task Group: George Galanes	(PM), R. Valdez	
Explanation of Need:		
Some certificate holders are con	nfused by the reference to PCC-2 in NBIC part 3	and believe they have
carte blanche to use any and all	PCC-2 methods in an R-stamped activity.	
Meeting Action: Failed SC LE	B (5-5). PM Comment: This is addressed in 3.2.6. Ho	owever, as a service to
our users interpretations are issued	to sometimes re-state the obvious existing wording.	At the next meeting we
can ask Mr. Shanks if he would like	e to withdraw his interpretation request.	
Item Number: 21-21	NBIC Location: Part 3, 3.4	No Attachment

General Description: Minimum required thickness determination; Use of Mandatory Appendix 46

Subgroup: Repairs and Alterations

Task Group: Tim McBee (PM), B. Morelock

Explanation of Need:

Pressure vessels are often designed with a single specified corrosion allowance for the entire vessel. Calculating minimum required thicknesses per the original construction code (and not relying only on the specified corrosion allowance listed on the manufacturer's data report) often results in identifying surplus material for use as corrosion allowance that was not utilized at the time of construction nor reflected on manufacturer's data report. Unfortunately, most vessel designs were not optimized on a per-component basis to maximize corrosion allowance and as a result, significant amounts of time and effort have been spent with unnecessary shutdowns, repairs, and / or fitness for service (FFS) evaluations all of which might have been avoided or deferred for years had the vessel originally been optimized for corrosion allowance.

Item Number: 21-22	NBIC Location: Part 3.3.3 & 3.4.4	No Attachment
General Description: Examples of Repairs and Alterations		

Subgroup: Repairs and Alterations

Task Group: Trevor Seime (PM)

Explanation of Need:

Disclaimer statement would help clarify that the listed examples are not a set list, and only represent some case examples.

Item Number: 21-28	NBIC Location: Part 3, 1.5.1 & 3.3.3 c)	No Attachment	
General Description: Subc	ontracted Weld-Overlay Repair		
Subgroup: Repairs and Alt	erations		
Task Group: Walter Sperk	Task Group: Walter Sperko (PM), M. Quisenberry		
Explanation of Need:			
(1) To clarify whether it is p	permitted for an "R" Certificate of Authorization Ho	older to subcontract	
weld-overlay repair to anoth	er company who does not possess an "R" Certificat	te.	
(2) To clarify whether a sub	contractor's shop used on a regular basis may be co	nsidered as a field	
location to allow welding by	and under the control of the "R" Certificate Holde	r at that shop.	

Item Number: 21-32NBIC Location: Part 3, 4.2No AttachmentGeneral Description: NDE requirements when repairing defects in original weld metal

Subgroup: Repairs and Alterations

Task Group: R. Troutt.

Explanation of Need:

This provision will help clarify to "R" Stamp Certificate holders and owners of pressure vessels that are in need of minor repairs to existing welds. Due to the ambiguous wording of this clause any welding on a head to shell joint may be interpreted to require volumetric inspection when the name plate is stamped RT4.

9. Future Meetings

- January 18th-21st, 2022 San Diego, CA
- July 2022 TBD

10. Adjournment

Respectfully submitted,

Terrence Hellman

Terrence Hellman SC R&A Secretary

Last Name	First Name	Interest Category	Role	IN PERSON	ZOOM
Sturm	Rick	Jurisdictional Authorities	Chair		
Seime	Trevor	Jurisdictional Authorities	Vice Chair		
Hellman	Terrence		Secretary		
Becker	Patricia	National Board Certificate Holders			
Boseo	Brian	General Interest			
Edwards	Paul	National Board Certificate Holders	Member		
Galanes	George	Users	Member		
Kinney	Donald	Jurisdictional Authorities	Member		
McBee	Timothy	Authorized Inspection Agencies	Member		
Moore	Kathy	National Board Certificate Holders	Member		
Quisenberry	Michael	National Board Certificate Holders	Member		
Shanks	Paul	Authorized Inspection Agencies	Member		
Underwood	Robert	Authorized Inspection Agencies	Member		
Valdez	Rick	Manufacturers	Member		
Wielgoszinski	Robert	Authorized Inspection Agencies	Member		

Task Group Interpretations (R&A)

INTERPRETATION 20-78

Repairs and Alterations of Tube Bundles

Inquiry No.	20-78
	Micah Davidian
Source	Email: mdavidian@dir.ca.gov
	Phone: +1 (559) 4456817
	Submission is for R Certificate Holders we provide Repair Inspection services for
Subject	Deducer and informations For exactions 4.4 NDIO Dart 9.9.9.9. as area to allow
	Background Information: For questions 1-4, NBIC Part 3, 3.3.3 s) seems to allow
	lo be a repair, but under 5.4.4 u) where the unnersions change it might be
	2019 Part 3 3 3 s and $3 4 4 d$
Edition	2013 Fait 3 3.3.3 Sj and 3.4.4 dj
Edition	
Question	Question 1: When a tube bundle is replaced where the new tubesheet material is
	the same as the original bundle but has a thicker tubesheet due to adding
	corrosion allowance where the original design did not include corrosion
	allowance, is this considered a repair or alteration?
	Question 2: when a tube bundle is replaced where the new tubesheet material is
	additional corrosion allowance to the original design, is this considered a repair or
	alteration?
	Question 3: When a tube bundle is replaced where the new tubesheet material is
	the same as the original bundle but has a thicker tubesheet due to adding
	thickness for future machining allowance, is this considered a repair or alteration?
	Question 4: For a tube bundle, does NPIC Part 2, 2,4,4,d) mean that any physical
	changes e.g. tubesheet thickness, tube wall thickness or length of tubes from the
	original design will be an alteration?
	Question 5: If a tube bundle is replaced where the new tubesheet material is the
	same as the original bundle but has a thicker tubesheet due to ASME Sec VIII,
	Div. 1, Part UHX tubesheet formulas, is this considered a repair or alteration.
	Drongood Donly:
	Question 1: Alteration (calculations required)
	Question 2: Alteration (calculations required)
	Question 3: Repair
	Question 4: Some may be repairs others alterations.
	Question 5: Alteration (calculations required)
Renly	
періу	

Committee's Question	 Q1: When a tubesheet in a replacement tube bundle has the same material as the original design but is thicker due to adding corrosion allowance where the original design did not include corrosion allowance or adding additional corrosion allowance or adding a machining allowance, is this considered a repair or alteration? Q2: In the case of a tube bundle, does NBIC Part 3, 3.4.4 d) mean that any physical changes e.g. tubesheet thickness, tube wall thickness or length of tubes from the original design will be an alteration?
	original design but is thicker due to a change in the analytic method, is this considered a repair or alteration.
Committee's Reply	A1: Alteration A2: Yes A3, Alteration
Rationale	Original questions 1,2 &3 have all be rolled up into Q&A1. All, per para 3.4.4 d) a change in dimension or contour of a PRI is an example of an alteration, the tube sheet getting thicker is a change in dimension. The glossary definition of PRI includes material so is not limited to the overall vessel/boiler Q3- I believe this is in reference to a heat exchanger built before Part UHX was adopted into Section VIII Div.1 so would have been built to TEMA rules which aren't 100 % the same as Part UHX. I do not think we should explain how to get around this in the answer to an interpretation.
SC Vote	
NBIC Vote	
Negative Vote Comments	

PROPOSED INTERPRETATION

Inquiry No.	20-91
Source	Robert Underwood – Hartford Steam Boiler
	To determine if procedures are required for mechanical repairs/assemblies as referenced in Part 3, paragraph 1.5.1(h).
Subject/Background	Part 3, para. 1.5.1(h), requires that control of mechanical assembly/repair procedures be addressed in the R Certificate Holder's Quality Manual. Over the last year or so, there have been National Board Team Leaders requesting these procedures (during joint reviews) for work such as rolling tubes in a boiler and replacing a bolted fitting on a pressure retaining item. This has resulted in confusion and several questions from certificate holders and Inspectors about why an "R" certificate holder is required to have procedures for mechanical work that doesn't even require an "R" Stamp.
Edition	2019; Part 3: Repairs and Alterations; Section 1, paragraph 1.5.1(h)
Question	Are mechanical repair/assembly procedures that are referenced in Part 3, paragraph 1.5.1(h), required for work that does not require an "R" Form?
Reply	Proposed Reply: No
Committee's Question 1	For anls a <u>"R" Certificate of Authorization holder, is it required to have</u> mechanical repair/assembly procedure(s) in accordance with Part 3, 1.5.1 h) mandatory for work that does not require an R Form?
Committee's Reply	No, provided it is not required by the Jurisdiction.
Rationale	There are many interpretations addressing mechanical work, replacing boiler tubes "non welded", repairing studded outlet threads "no welding" the NBIC does not address non welded repairs (mechanical), nor requires a written procedure or a repair plan when this work does not require an R Form.
SC Vote	
NBIC Vote	
Negative Vote Comments	

Part 3, 1.5.1(h)

h) Repair and Alteration Methods

The manual shall include controls for repairs and alterations, including mechanical assembly procedures, materials, nondestructive examination methods, pre-heat, and postweld heat treatment, as applicable. Special requirements such as nonmetallic repairs and alterations to graphite and fiber-reinforced thermosetting plastic pressure-retaining items including bonding or mechanical assembly procedures shall be addressed, if applicable.

Part 3, Supplement 9 - Glossary

Mechanical Assembly — The work necessary to establish or restore a pressure retaining boundary, under supplementary materials, whereby pressure-retaining capability is established through a mechanical, chemical, or physical interface, as defined under the rules of the NBIC.

Mechanical Repair Method — A method of repair, which restores a pressure retaining boundary to a safe and satisfactory operating condition, where the pressure retaining boundary is established by a method other than welding or brazing, as defined under the rules of the NBIC.

PROPOSED INTERPRETATION

Inquiry No.	21-17
Source	Submitted by Mr. Paul Shanks from OneCis
Subject	Part 3, Section 3.2.6 Reference to ASME PCC-2
Edition	2019
Explanation of Need	Using any ASME PCC-2 methods in an R-stamped activity
Question	May any method as described in ASME PCC-2 be used in an NBIC repair or alteration activity without specific reference in NBIC Part 3?
Reply	No, the NBIC committee chose to select only specific PCC-2 articles for use in NBIC activities.
Committee's Question 1	May repair methods provided in other codes and standards referenced in the 2019 Edition of the NBIC, Part 3 Section 3.2.6 be used for repair or alteration activities performed in accordance with the NBIC?
Committee's Reply 1	Yes, subject to acceptance by the Inspector and when required, by the Jurisdiction. Some repair methods listed in other codes and standards may be considered as alterations by the NBIC.
Committee's Question 2	
Committee's Reply 2	
Rationale	When ASME PCC_2 was first introduced and referenced in the NBIC, the committee did not exclude any of the repair methods other than some of the repair methods may be considered alterations by the NBIC.
SC Vote	
NBIC Vote	
Negative Vote Comments	

Relevant Background

XXX

Relevant Interpretations

None.